

2559

RECEIVED

FEB 26 REC'D

Bender, Mary

From: MurrmaidPoodles@aol.com
Sent: Friday, February 09, 2007 7:57 PM
To: mabender@state.pa.us
Subject: e-mail from Joy Nachmias

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
REVIEW COMMISSION

Hello, Mary I am sure you are being over whelmed with response to the amendments. I will be brief and I am sorry for all you must be sifting through.

I feel it is important to exclude dog groomers specifically. The new amendment can be interpreted to include dog groomers. The old legal definition is clear of what a kennel is. The language, any establishment that "keeps" dogs "for any length of time" "for the purpose of maintaining and being compensated" is very misleading.

Also as a hobby breeder that is highly respected in their community, I feel the original language 26 adult dogs a year is clear and appropriate. The amended 26 dogs per calendar year of any age will cripple small scale private rescues and hobby breeders. It is not unusual to have 5 pets living in a hobby breeders home. Then to have one litter a year of 10-11 puppies, place them in homes and months later take in a much needed rescue, pregnant bitch & whelp another litter of 11 and place those pups. That puts the hobby breeder over the limit in puppies alone. I wont drag on. Those are my points and I thank you, I cannot imagine what your days are like with all of this...chin up and thank you in advance for listening.

#1 exclude groomers
#2 keep 26 adults per calendar year the same.

Thank you, Joy Nachmias

2/12/2007